Pubmedia Social Sciences and Humanities Volume: 3, Number 4, 2026, *Page*: 1-9

A Step Toward A Hyperintellectual Society

Primov Baxriddin Abdiraxmonovich

Associate Professor of the Department of Philosophy, Tashkent State University of Economics

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47134/pssh.v3i4.750
*Correspondence: Primov Baxriddin Abdiraxmonovich
Email: baxriddinprimov57@gmail.com

Received: 22-04-2026
Accepted: 22-05-2026
Published: 25-06-2026

Abstract: This article examines the transitional period in which society is moving toward a new stage of development and explores the ongoing process of digitalization emerging in the interaction between humans and Artificial Intelligence (AI). In this context, we discuss the concept of a hyperintellectual society as a prospective stage of social evolution. In the contemporary information age, providing a theoretical foundation and explanation for such transformations is considered a highly relevant scientific task. These ideas are expected to attract the interest of readers and encourage deeper reflection on the future trajectory of human civilization.

Keywords: Intelligence, Thinking, Digital Consciousness, Artificial Intelligence, Neuro-Digital World, Post-Information Society, Trans-Information Society, Intellectual Society, Techno-Futuristic Society, Techno-Society, Transhumanist Society, Superintellectual Society, Hyperintellectual Society

Introduction

One of the most significant features of the twenty-first century, often referred to as the Information Age, is the recognition of knowledge, information, and intellectual potential as the most influential forces shaping social development. In the era of globalization, the rapid advancement of digital technologies, artificial intelligence, and the innovation-driven economy is fundamentally transforming the value of the individual and the traditional models of society, leading humanity toward a new stage of civilization. Scientific thinking, intellectual capacity, creative innovation, and human capital are increasingly emerging as the primary drivers of development, surpassing natural resources and material wealth in importance.

Today, the global community is searching for new directions of development beyond the post-industrial society. In this context, the idea of a hyperintellectual society is proposed as a promising concept aimed at establishing a new social order based on the integration of human intelligence and advanced technologies. This conceptual framework envisages elevating intellectual and technological development to the level of core values across all spheres of social life.

Furthermore, this article analyzes the essence of the concept of a hyperintellectual society, the factors contributing to its formation, its theoretical foundations, and its role in contemporary processes of social development. Particular attention is given to the

interrelationship between the knowledge economy, digital consciousness, artificial intelligence, and the development of human capital, as well as their contribution to the emergence of a hyperintellectual society. These issues are examined and substantiated from a scientific and philosophical perspective.

Literature Review

Prior to the formulation of our scientifically grounded concept of the hyperintellectual society, the ideas and theories of numerous scholars concerning the development of society were carefully examined. Among them are the social theories of Karl Marx, Daniel Bell, and Alvin Toffler, as well as the concepts of *Intelligence Explosion* and *Intelligence Singularity* advanced by Ray Kurzweil, Eliezer Yudkowsky, and Vernor Vinge. In addition, the works of Pierre Lévy and Francis Heylighen on collective intelligence and the Global Brain were analyzed. Particular attention was devoted to their views on the increasingly close integration of human beings and advanced technologies, especially Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT), and their potential impact on the future evolution of society.

Methodology

The concept of a hyperintellectual society was examined and analyzed as a significant methodological framework for understanding contemporary social development. This approach focuses on knowledge, artificial intelligence, and innovation as the principal drivers of societal progress, while also exploring the impact of human capital, scientific thinking, and information culture on social transformation. Furthermore, the hyperintellectual society was interpreted through a systemic approach, emphasizing the interrelationship between technological advancement and the spiritual, cultural, and ethical dimensions of society.

The methodological analysis was based on a comprehensive review of national and international scholarly literature concerning post-industrial (information) society and the strategic role of intellectual resources in social development. The views and theories of prominent scholars and researchers were critically examined and compared. Particular attention was devoted to the evolving role of human cognition and intelligence in the development of society, and a new conceptual perspective on the future trajectory of human civilization was proposed, highlighting its methodological significance.

Result and Discussion

Humanity has passed through various stages and periods of social development. Historical experience demonstrates that societies have evolved through different phases of socio-economic relations, progressing from low-productivity modes of production to more advanced forms, while also passing through distinct stages of cultural development. In contemporary academic discourse, these processes are generally interpreted through two major perspectives: the formational and the civilizational approaches.

According to Karl Marx's formational theory, social development is directly linked to transformations in the modes of production and labor organization. The earliest stage of human society was the primitive communal system, characterized by the absence of private property and a developed division of labor. During this period, people relied primarily on hunting and gathering, and social relations were relatively egalitarian. However, the emergence of intertribal conflicts, raids, and the use of captured individuals as a labor force contributed to the formation of slave-owning societies. The development of labor specialization and the accumulation of surplus products further strengthened slavery as the dominant productive force, while simultaneously facilitating the emergence of state institutions and legal systems.

The decline of the slave-owning system eventually led to the rise of feudalism during the medieval period. In feudal society, landownership served as the principal source of wealth and power, and social life was largely defined by the relationship between two major social groups: feudal landlords and dependent peasants. Subsequently, the Industrial Revolution transformed society once again, giving rise to capitalism based on private ownership. During this period, mechanized production replaced manual labor, market relations expanded, and the working class emerged as a central component of social and economic life.

The achievements of science and technology in the twentieth century contributed to the development of industrial society, characterized by mass production and rapid urbanization. In the contemporary era, however, society is increasingly transitioning toward a post-industrial or information society, as described in the theories of Daniel Bell and Alvin Toffler. In this new stage of development, knowledge, information dissemination, innovative projects, advanced technologies, and intellectual resources have become the primary strategic assets. As a result, the service sector and intellectual labor now occupy a leading position in economic and social development.

The emergence of the Internet in the 1990s elevated these developments to an entirely new stage. It transformed the mechanisms of creating, storing, disseminating, and processing information from a centralized model to a network-based and decentralized structure. Various Internet platforms significantly accelerated the production, circulation, and accessibility of knowledge, democratizing access to cognitive resources. As a result, global cooperation in science, education, and innovation expanded considerably.

From a philosophical perspective, this process created an "open communication space," strengthening the accumulation and exchange of intellectual capital within society. In the economic sphere, the Internet facilitated the development of digital markets, promoting e-commerce, remote work, and electronic government services, thereby increasing efficiency and speed in economic and administrative activities. In social life, it intensified global cultural exchange and enhanced communication among different nations and civilizations. Consequently, the Internet has become one of the key factors contributing to the formation of a knowledge-based society and the advancement of intellectual development in the contemporary world.

Nevertheless, the continuous growth of informatization has led to the emergence and increasing visibility of a number of negative consequences:

Information overload exerts significant pressure on the human cognitive system, contributing to diminished attention capacity and the prevalence of superficial modes of thinking;

The proliferation of fake information and adaptive manipulative content facilitates the shaping of public opinion and consciousness, thereby obscuring the distinction between truth and falsehood;

The algorithm-driven digitization of personal data has amplified the dissemination of malinformation, creating new challenges related to privacy, data security, and information integrity;

The widespread consumption and sharing of photographs, contextual information, and digital content via social media networks may foster psychological isolation and weaken direct social interactions;The growth of cybercrime, accompanied by the dissemination of falsehood and unethical practices in cyberspace, has led to the erosion of moral standards, thereby undermining social stability and public trust.

It can therefore be concluded that, as information increasingly acts as a key driver of social development, it simultaneously brings new and emerging challenges to human consciousness, freedom, and social structures. For this reason, maintaining sincerity and integrity in the information age, as well as preventing its negative consequences, has become one of the central issues in contemporary social philosophy, sociology, and information ethics.

The integration of artificial intelligence into contemporary society indicates that the current stage of development is advancing beyond the post-industrial or information society models proposed by Daniel Bell and Alvin Toffler. In this context, various scholars around the world have introduced new conceptual interpretations of a society increasingly based on artificial intelligence, cognition, and advanced technological systems. These include notions such as the post-information society, trans-information society, intellectual society, techno-futuristic society, techno-society, transhumanist society, superintellectual society, and the neuro-digital world.

In addition, we briefly examine the views of several scholars representing these perspectives. Nick Bostrom, in his 2014 work *Superintelligence* [3], attempts to explain the autonomous decision-making capabilities of artificial intelligence (AI). Futurists such as Ray Kurzweil, Eliezer Yudkowsky, and Vernor Vinge have addressed the concepts of Intelligence Explosion and Technological Singularity in relation to Artificial General Intelligence (AGI). Furthermore, authors such as Pierre Lévy and Francis Heylighen have introduced the concepts of Collective Intelligence and the Global Brain, interpreting humanity and AI together as a unified form of distributed intelligence through the development of collective cognition. In addition, Japanese researchers have proposed the Society 5.0 concept, which emphasizes the deep integration of humans and technology—

particularly AI and the Internet of Things (IoT)—as a foundation for an advanced intelligent society.

Based on the above considerations, it can be argued that there is sufficient justification for proposing a new form of highly advanced intelligent society emerging from the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and human cognition. We define this stage as a hyperintellectual society. This concept encompasses and synthesizes all the previously discussed approaches, representing their integrated, expanded, and evolutionary form. In particular, it should be understood not merely as a technological transformation, but as a new model of society grounded in ethics, human dignity, knowledge, and cooperation. Our proposed perspective is fully consistent with the logic of scientific and futurological development. It demonstrates that the concept of a hyperintellectual society can be regarded as a well-founded theoretical construct and a term that may be further developed and applied in future scientific discourse.

If we define a hyperintellectual society, it can be described as a system in which human beings and artificial intelligence jointly participate in the processes of knowledge creation, its processing, and practical application. It is characterized by an integrated decision-making structure based on human–AI cooperation, gradually evolving into a self-enhancing system driven by algorithms. In such a society, information is fully digitized, and cognitive resources are deeply integrated into socio-economic systems, leading to continuous transformational processes across various domains.

As society moves toward a hyperintellectual stage, it becomes increasingly defined by scientific perspectives, the dynamic complexity of processes, cognitive innovation, and the laws of human–artificial intelligence coevolution. In particular, knowledge-based innovation processes are expected to accelerate exponentially. In this context, the processing and analysis of information expand human cognitive capacities and generate a strong synergistic effect. As a result, innovation cycles are likely to become significantly shorter. Within hyperintellectual processes, ideas evolve at a faster pace, enabling the rapid implementation of new technologies into society.

Furthermore, decision-making processes are increasingly enhanced through automation and data-driven analysis of large-scale databases, which improves the accuracy and efficiency of governance systems. In this context, the center of economic and material resource activity shifts toward the creation of cognitive and digital resources. In other words, capital generated through knowledge, algorithms, and intellectual capacity becomes the primary factor of production, replacing traditional material resources as the dominant driver of value creation.

Within the social system, the interaction between humans and artificial intelligence forms a new cognitive environment, in which modes of learning, working, and communication are continuously evolving. However, such complex systems also increase the level of uncertainty and risk. At the same time, factors such as algorithmic dependence, growing information asymmetry, and difficulties in governance and control require the development of new institutional approaches.

Today, advances in neuro-scientific networks and artificial intelligence research are enabling the integration of human cognitive processes with digital systems. This development, in turn, elevates the concept of "co-created knowledge" to a new stage, where individual intelligence interacts with networked systems and artificial intelligence, playing a crucial role in knowledge generation. From a scientific perspective, this symbiosis leads to an inter-intelligence model, in which the boundaries between humans, machines, and artificial intelligence gradually converge, complement, and mutually adapt to one another.

Secondly, knowledge-based production processes are undergoing a fundamental transformation in terms of speed and efficiency. In the context of information technologies and artificial intelligence, humanity is gaining the ability to generate knowledge at an exponential rate. This development also highlights the inefficiencies of traditional education systems, as new forms of "creative" knowledge require continuous updating and adaptation. From a scientific perspective, this shift brings the paradigm of "learning to learn" (meta-learning) to the forefront as a central knowledge acquisition strategy.

Thirdly, at the global level, economic systems are undergoing continuous transformation and profound structural changes. Algorithmic processes are entering an accelerated stage of development, while automation is becoming increasingly dominant in the labor market and the broader economy. In this context, value creation is gradually shifting away from traditional forms of manual labor toward knowledge-intensive and cognitive-driven activities. It can therefore be observed that in a hyperintellectual society, intellectuality itself transforms into a form of creative activity. This, in turn, provides a solid scientific foundation for strengthening and advancing the concept of a "cognitive economy."

Fourthly, decision-making processes are expected to enter a new qualitative stage. Through large-scale data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning methods, the accuracy of predictive models is significantly increasing. As a result, individual decisions are becoming less dependent on empirical experience and increasingly reliant on high-precision computational models. This shift enhances the level of rationality in social governance; however, it also raises concerns regarding increased dependence on algorithms and related systemic risks.

Fifthly, new ethical and philosophical challenges emerge within contemporary discourse. The process of machine-based reasoning raises fundamental questions such as "What is a human being?" Within philosophy and bioethics, the relevance of the concept of personhood becomes increasingly significant. If intelligence is further enhanced through information technologies and artificial intelligence (AI), then notions such as identity, free will, and moral responsibility may require re-evaluation. From a scientific perspective, this development is closely associated with the emerging concept of the "post-human."

A step toward a hyperintellectual society should not be understood merely as the improvement of information technologies, but rather as the emergence of a complex algorithmic adaptive system. In this context, dialectical complex systems—particularly the interaction between artificial intelligence and human cognition—play a crucial role, as society itself is a highly complex, dynamically evolving system composed of interdependent

elements and values. Therefore, future developments cannot be considered linear; instead, they are characterized by non-linearity, entropy, and probabilistic dynamics.

Conclusion

In conclusion, a hyperintellectual society can be defined as a self-improving and continuously evolving knowledge-based and highly adaptive system in which the dynamics of development move from simplicity toward increasing complexity, enabling higher levels of efficiency and performance. As a result of the development of digital technologies, artificial intelligence, collective intelligence, and global information networks, a new stage of human civilization is emerging.

A hyperintellectual society represents a logical continuation of these processes, where knowledge production, intellectual labor, innovative thinking, and a high level of information culture become the main social values. In such a society, human capital is recognized as a strategic resource, while science, education, and technology become the key driving forces of development.

Furthermore, a hyperintellectual society should not be characterized solely by technological achievements, but also by the development of moral and ethical responsibility, social justice, human dignity, and intellectual culture. Indeed, intellectual progress can contribute to the stable and prosperous development of society only when it is harmonized with humanistic principles.

References

Alvin Toffler. The Third Wave. New York: William Morrow and Company, 1980. 544 p.

Asrifan, A. (2025). Integrating AI and sustainability in education: A new paradigm for modern literacy. *Rethinking Literacy in the Era of Sustainability and Artificial Intelligence*, 43-72, https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0725-1.ch002

Behboudi, A. (2024). Promoting Critical Consciousness in Students through Artifacts Co-creation with GAI. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 26-29, https://doi.org/10.1145/3663433.3663435

Bostrom, N. Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014. 352 p.

Chawaremera, M.M. (2025). Algorithmic Teaching, Fading Thought? Rethinking Engagement in the AI Era. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Education Research Icer 2025*, 18-25, https://doi.org/10.34190/icer.2.1.4008

Daniel Bell. The Coming of Post-Industrial Society. New York: Basic Books, 1973. 602 p.

Heylighen, F. Conceptions of a Global Brain: An Historical Review // Evolution: Cosmic, Biological, and Social. Brussels: Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 2002.

Irving John Good → "Intelligence Explosion" (1965), Vernor Vinge → "Technological Singularity" (1993), Ray Kurzweil → *The Singularity Is Near* (2005), Eliezer Yudkowsky → AI Safety ва Intelligence Explosion назариялари (2008), ҳамда Nick Bostrom → Superintelligence (2014).

Lévy, P. Collective Intelligence: Mankind's Emerging World in Cyberspace. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books, 1997.

Lin, H.C. Koong (2025). Writing Is Coding for Sustainable Futures: Reimagining Poetic Expression Through Human–AI Dialogues in Environmental Storytelling and Digital Cultural Heritage. *Sustainability Switzerland*, *17*(15), ISSN 2071-1050, https://doi.org/10.3390/su17157020

Lin, Y. (2023). Research on Natural Objects and Creative Design from the Perspective of Phenomenology. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics*, *14023*, 221-236, ISSN 0302-9743, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-35939-2_17

Madshaven, J.M. (2025). Making Historical Consciousness Come Alive: Abstract Concepts, Artificial Intelligence, and Implicit Game-Based Learning. *Education Sciences*, *15*(9), ISSN 2227-7102, https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15091128

Maksimenkova, O.V. (2025). New Interfaces: How Are Interfaces and Mediums Changing. *Voprosy Filosofii*, *2025*(10), 67-76, ISSN 0042-8744, https://doi.org/10.21146/0042-8744-2025-10-67-76

Ning, H. (2025). Cyberism: The theory for relationships between human and cyberspace. *Gongcheng Kexue Xuebao Chinese Journal of Engineering*, *47*(6), 1240-1256, ISSN 2095-9389, https://doi.org/10.13374/j.issn2095-9389.2025.05.27.001

Panneerselvi, R. (2025). Distributed Security Intelligence Assessment Framework in BigData Environment. *Conference Proceedings 2025 4th International Conference on Advances in Computing Communication Embedded and Secure Systems Access 2025*, 465-472, https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS65134.2025.11135780

Primov B. Axborot imperializimi tahdidlari // Ijtimoiy-gumanitar fanlarning dolzarb muammolari. – 2025. – №S/9(5). – B. 255-261.

Primov B. Axborot maydonida xatti-harakatlarda netiketning o‘rni // Sharq ijtimoiy fanlar jurnali. – 2025. – №5(08). – B.134-139

Ramachandran, R.B. (2025). A Review of the Current and Future Roles of AI. *Handbook of Global Philosophies on AI Ethics Toward Sustainable Futures*, 19-31, https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003585527-3

Samrat, B. (2025). DIGITAL FORENSICS IN PHOTOGRAPHY EDUCATION. *Shodhkosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, *6*(3), 458-468, ISSN 2582-7472, https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v6.i3s.2025.6768

Shiraev, E.B. (2024). Cross-Cultural PsychologyCritical Thinking and Contemporary Applications: Eighth Edition. *Cross Cultural Psychologycritical Thinking and Contemporary Applications Eighth Edition*, 1-545, https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003354567

Verhezen, P. (2023). Making wise decisions in a smart world: Responsible leadership in an era of artificial intelligence. *Making Wise Decisions in A Smart World Responsible Leadership in an Era of Artificial Intelligence*, 1-459, https://doi.org/10.1142/13192

Vnutskikh, A. (2023). Technogenic Subjectivity and Its Social Projections. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, *622*, 653-668, ISSN 2367-3370, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28086-3_61

Wang, L. (2024). Embedded Systems for Analyzing Digital Art Aesthetics in Piano Performances using Emotional Recognition. *Computer Aided Design and Applications*, *21*, 44-55, ISSN 1686-4360, https://doi.org/10.14733/cadaps.2024.S8.44-55

Yang, C. (2023). Arts-based pedagogy in management education: Personal reflections. *Honing Self Awareness of Faculty and Future Business Leaders Emotions Connected with Teaching and Learning*, 227-245, https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80262-349-920231014

Zhang, W.R. (2024). Global Realism with Bipolar Strings: From Bell Test to Real-World Causal-Logical Quantum Gravity and Brain-Universe Similarity for Entangled Machine Thinking and Imagination. *Information Switzerland*, *15*(8), ISSN 2078-2489, https://doi.org/10.3390/info15080456