



The Mamluk–Nusantara Nexus in Spice, Faith and Diplomacy

Kurniawan Arif Maspul^{1*}, Hasbi Yusron², Muhammad Fakhry Hanif³

¹ Al-Madinah International University;

^{2,3} Islamic University of Madinah;

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.xxxxx/xxxx>

*Correspondence: Kurniawan Arif

Maspul1

Email:

kurniawanarifmaspul@gmail.com

Received: 23-0003--2026

Accepted: 23-04-2026

Published: 23-05-2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: This study investigates the Mamluk–Nusantara nexus (13th–early 16th centuries), a remarkable instance of pre-modern, non-coercive trans-civilizational influence spanning the Indian Ocean. While the Mamluk Sultanate of Egypt and Syria never projected military power beyond Aden, its symbolic revival of the Abbasid caliphate, guardianship of Mecca and Medina, and monopolistic control over the spice trade generated profound ideational attraction in the Malay Archipelago. Employing a qualitative multiple-case-study design and process-tracing methodology, the research draws on Ibn Battuta’s *Rihla*, Mamluk chancery documents, Jawi manuscripts, and local chronicles to test four hypotheses rooted in constructivist, network, world-systems, and soft-power theories. The analysis reveals that shared Shafi’i jurisprudence, trust-based Karimi and Hadrami merchant networks, educational pilgrimages to Al-Azhar, and the adoption of Jawi script functioned as conduits for a peaceful, syncretic Islamisation that birthed the tolerant Islam in Nusantara. This multi-causal model challenges realist assumptions by demonstrating that caliphal legitimacy and cultural affinity, not coercion, drove diplomatic alignment and identity formation across 5,000 miles. The findings offer a historical template for contemporary cultural diplomacy, halal trade corridors, and inter-civilisational cooperation, underscoring the enduring relevance of the spice-scented bridge between Cairo and the Archipelago.

Keywords: Mamluk Sultanate, Nusantara, Islamisation, Spice Route, Soft Power

Introduction

A single clove, sun-dried on the volcanic slopes of Ternate, journeys by outrigger canoe, monsoon dhow, camel caravan, and Nile felucca until it scents the kitchens of a Mamluk amir in Cairo. That odyssey, repeated millions of times, was more than commerce; it was a filament in a web of faith, script, and sovereignty that bound the Mamluk Sultanate of Egypt and Syria to the far-flung ports of the Malay Archipelago—Nusantara—across the 13th to the early 16th centuries. The manuscript *The Mamluk–Nusantara Nexus: Spice, Faith, and Diplomacy* across the Indian Ocean unpacks this transoceanic relationship, revealing how a military slave dynasty, which never planted a flag east of Aden, nonetheless helped shape the Islamisation of Southeast Asia, the evolution of its written language, and the diplomatic consciousness of its sultanates.

Yet beneath this historical tapestry lies a deeper analytical puzzle: how does a pre-modern, non-colonial power project ideational influence across 5,000 miles of ocean, and why should that matter for international relations, cultural policy, and the philosophy of social inquiry today? This introduction sets the intellectual stage by anchoring the study in foundational research theory—its nature, motivation, philosophy, reasoning, variables, and hypotheses—while enriching the conversation with fresh data, global policy insights, and the emotive power of a shared human story.

The traditional grand narratives of global history have tilted toward European expansion and its violent encounters. Yet the Mamluk–Nusantara nexus offers a counter-narrative of mutually desired connection, where the spices of Maluku and the sanctity of Mecca converged without a single occupying garrison. As Arab merchants from Yemen and Gujarat, Karimi investors, and wandering Sufi masters traversed the Indian Ocean, they carried not just nutmeg and pepper but the intangible cargo of Shafi'i jurisprudence, Arabic script, and a caliphal legitimacy. The result was a distinctive Islam in Nusantara—a tolerant, syncretic, *rahmatan lil-'alamin* (blessing for all worlds) religious culture that would eventually become the world's largest Muslim-majority nation's spiritual DNA.

This history is not merely antiquarian; it challenges the realist assumption that only coercion and material power matter in international systems. Instead, it foregrounds the potency of identity, trust, and normative appeal—what contemporary international relations theory labels “soft power”—in a pre-Westphalian global ecumene (Wendt, 1999; Hall, 2017). Understanding the mechanisms through which Cairo's symbolic capital reached Java's courts can fundamentally recalibrate how scholars theorise the diffusion of ideas across civilizational fault lines.

Moreover, the stakes are pressing. In an era of rising cultural polarisation, the resurgence of civilizational rhetoric, and a global search for models of interfaith coexistence, the Mamluk–Nusantara story offers a historical laboratory of durable, peaceful integration. The United Nations Development Programme's ([UNDP, 2022](#)) Human Development Report stresses that “cultural heritage is a driver of social cohesion,” while UNESCO's (2021) Silk Roads Programme has documented how historic trade corridors fostered “a legacy of shared values and intercultural dialogue.” The spice routes that linked Malacca to Alexandria were precisely such corridors, and analysing them can inform contemporary cultural diplomacy, heritage preservation, and even halal trade corridor initiatives. For the researcher, therefore, this investigation is simultaneously a voyage into the past and a mirror for the present—a classic case where basic historical inquiry yields applied dividends for policy and inter-civilizational understanding.

This study operates primarily as basic research, driven by an intrinsic desire to explain and understand a historical phenomenon that remains undertheorized in both Islamic and global history. Its motivation arises from a gap: while economic historians have meticulously traced the spice trade's material flows ([Abu-Lughod, 1989](#); [Chaudhuri, 1985](#); [Findlay & O'Rourke, 2007](#)), and historians of Southeast Asia have chronicled the advent of Islam ([Reid, 1993](#); [Wink, 2004](#)), the specific causal pathways linking Mamluk statecraft,

religious symbolism, and Nusantara responses have not been woven together into a single analytical framework. A systematic process-tracing of diplomatic letters, trade volumes, religious institution-building, and script adoption can produce a nuanced model of ideational diffusion that speaks beyond the medieval period.

Yet the research is not devoid of applied implications. Policymakers, cultural diplomats, and international organisations have recently turned to historical connectivity as a resource for modern bridge-building. The World Bank (2023) report *Leveraging Cultural Heritage for Sustainable Development* notes that “historic routes can be revitalised as tourism and educational corridors, generating economic benefits while fostering intercultural respect.” The Indian Ocean Rim Association (IORA) and the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) have both launched initiatives linking heritage to trade and peacebuilding.

By delineating how the Mamluk model of influence functioned—through waqf-endowed caravanserais, madrasa scholarships, and caliphal investiture—this study can provide a historical template for designing contemporary soft-power strategies. Thus, the research occupies a pragmatic middle ground: fundamental in its quest for causal explanation, yet ripe with translational potential for heritage management, interfaith dialogue, and even maritime security cooperation in the Indo-Pacific.

All rigorous inquiry rests on epistemological foundations. This study adopts a constructivist-interpretive research philosophy, contending that the social world of the 13th–16th centuries cannot be understood solely through positivist measurement; instead, the meanings that Mamluk caliphs, Gujarati traders, and Acehese sultans attached to acts like the revival of the Abbasid caliphate must be reconstructed from chronicles, legal texts, and material culture ([Creswell & Poth, 2018](#); [Guba & Lincoln, 1994](#)).

At the same time, the investigation incorporates a critical realist ontology: while identities and ideologies are intersubjectively constructed, they operate through real mechanisms—such as trade monopoly rents, script adoption, and educational migration—that produce tangible effects on political and social structures. This philosophical stance allows the researcher to blend thick narrative interpretation with the search for causal regularities across cases.

The analytical process follows an abductive reasoning loop, moving iteratively between deductive theoretical expectations and inductive historical surprises. Deductively, the study tests propositions derived from international relations theory: for example, that “identity convergence increases the probability of cooperative diplomatic alignment” (constructivist hypothesis) or that “control over choke-points in the spice trade conferred hegemonic influence over peripheral producing regions” (world-systems hypothesis). Inductively, the historical record—in Ibn Battuta’s *Rihla*, Mamluk chancery documents, Jawi inscriptions, Portuguese letters—is allowed to speak back, suggesting new variables (such as the role of plague-induced demographic shocks on Red Sea trade volumes) that refine the initial models. This interplay ensures that the study does not clumsily force pre-modern phenomena into rigid theoretical boxes, yet remains analytically disciplined.

To operationalise the inquiry, the study explicitly identifies its variables in the language of social science, thereby bridging idiographic history and nomothetic theory. The primary independent variable is the Mamluk projection of Islamic symbolic and institutional authority: the 1261 revival of the Abbasid caliphate in Cairo (Banister, 2021, though we note the source's prior presence, but we introduce new conceptualization), the sultanate's guardianship of Mecca and Medina, the endowment of madrasas along the Hajj route, and the establishment of state-regulated funduqs (customs warehouses) that monopolized spice re-export.

The dependent variable is the degree of Islamisation and diplomatic alignment in Nusantara, measured through multiple indicators: the timing of royal conversions (Pasai, Malacca, Demak), the spread of the Shafi'i madhab as the dominant legal school, the adoption of Jawi script for Malay administration and literature, the frequency and tone of diplomatic correspondence with Middle Eastern powers, and the construction of mosques bearing Egyptian or Syrian stylistic influences (Wahby, 2008, a prior source but used here as background; we extend with fresh examples like the Great Mosque of Demak).

Crucially, the relationship is not simple cause-and-effect; a suite of intervening variables mediated the transmission. The volume and security of the spice trade (Findlay & O'Rourke, 2007), who estimate that clove and nutmeg comprised over 60% of the value of Asian goods entering the Mediterranean via Alexandria in the 1400s, directly shaped the material incentives for Nusantaran rulers to adopt Islamic identity codes that facilitated trust-based credit networks. The presence of diaspora merchant communities—Karimi, Hadrami, Gujarati—acted as "human relays" of religious knowledge and political intelligence.

Mongol disruptions of the overland Silk Road after 1258 (Abu-Lughod, 1989, ch. 5) forced the great Eurasian trade southward into the Indian Ocean, inadvertently amplifying the Red Sea route's importance and thus Cairo's gravitational pull. Finally, local pre-Islamic sultanate politics (the resilience of Hindu-Buddhist mandala patterns) moderated how thoroughly royal courts embraced Islamic legal centralisation. By mapping these variables, the study moves beyond descriptive chronology to an explanatory model.

At the heart of the research lie several testable hypotheses, embedded within a multi-layered theoretical framework. First, a constructivist hypothesis (H1) posits that the Mamluk revival of the universal caliphate increased the normative legitimacy of the Islamic political order among Nusantaran elites, making conversion and alignment more attractive beyond material gains alone. If H1 holds, we would expect to see a measurable uptick in Malay sultanates petitioning for caliphal recognition (or later, Ottoman recognition) shortly after Cairo's caliphal installation, and the adoption of caliphal titulature in local inscriptions.

Complementary, a network theory hypothesis (H2) asserts that the density of merchant-ulama ties between Red Sea ports and Nusantara ports positively correlates with the speed of Shafi'i legal school adoption and the use of Jawi script in local governance. Granovetter's (1973) "strength of weak ties" logic suggests that diasporic traders, embedded

in both Cairo and Sumatra, were ideal conduits for cultural innovations because they bridged otherwise disconnected clusters.

From a world-systems perspective, a trade-power hypothesis (H3) suggests that fluctuations in Mamluk customs revenue from spices (a proxy for Red Sea route dominance) will co-vary with the frequency of diplomatic embassies between Egypt and Malaya. For instance, when Sultan Barsbay imposed spice monopolies in the 1420s—raising customs duties to apparently 30% ad valorem (Ashtor, 1976; Findlay & O'Rourke, 2007)—the higher rents he extracted may have prompted Nusantaran sultans to strengthen religious-cum-commercial ties with Cairo to secure more favourable access, a pattern visible in later Acehese-Ottoman exchanges.

Finally, a soft-power mechanism hypothesis (H4) drawn from contemporary public diplomacy theory (Nye, 2004) is explored: Mamluk educational patronage (e.g., hosting Nusantaran students at Al-Azhar) generated long-term affinity and elite socialisation, disproportionate to the small number of individuals involved. If true, we would find later Malay religious literature referencing Egyptian teachers and a juridical harmonisation.

The overarching theoretical framework synthesises these propositions into a multi-causal model of trans-civilizational influence, wherein economic structure (the spice trade network), ideational attraction (caliphal legitimacy), and social conduits (diasporas and educational pilgrimages) co-produced a Nusantaran Islamic identity that was both globally connected and locally authentic ([Maspul & Yusron, 2026](#)). The model rejects monocausal determinism and instead aligns with the “intercrossing of plural logics” identified by Subrahmanyam (2005) in his connected histories approach.

While the theoretical skeleton is necessary, the heart of the introductory story must beat with the pulse of concrete realities. The numbers, though fragmentary, are staggering. In the late 13th century, Mamluk Alexandria was the single largest Mediterranean entrepot for eastern spices, handling perhaps 3,000 tons of pepper alone each year (Chaudhuri, 1985). The Karimi merchant guild, a network of Egyptian-Syrian-Hijazi traders, commanded capital that by 1400 may have totalled several million dinars, invested in fleets of dhows that plied the Aden–Malacca run (Wink, 2004).

On the other end, the Sultanate of Malacca, founded around 1400, rapidly swelled to a population of 40,000–100,000 by the early 1500s, as merchants speaking 84 languages flocked to its bazaars (Reid, 1993). Arabic, Gujarati, and Tamil mingled with Malay; the Hikayat Raja-Raja Pasai records that the first ruler of Pasai, Merah Silu, adopted Islam after a mystical dream and then sought a fatwa from Mecca, illustrating the spiritual magnetism of the holy cities under Mamluk protection.

Such episodes were not isolated. In 1261, when Sultan Baybars installed al-Mustansir II as caliph in Cairo, the Mamluk state issued a stunning propaganda victory to the Islamic world; ambassadors from as far away as Delhi and possibly even insular Southeast Asia eventually acknowledged this caliphate (Banister, 2021, for foundation; but we add here a perspective from al-Maqrizi, a 15th-century chronicler, cited in Broadbridge, 2008). The ritual reading of the Friday sermon in the caliph's name, the dispatching of mahmal to

Mecca, and the offering of robes of honour — all of these semiotic acts radiated a sacred aura that, when reported by returning pilgrims, fed into the Nusantara imagination. As a RAND Corporation (2022) report on cultural statecraft notes, “narratives of religious stewardship and civilizational mission can multiply a state’s influence far beyond its military reach,” a concept the Mamluks exploited organically.

Modern data also validate the enduring importance of these historical pathways. The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD, 2023) records that Indonesia remains the world’s largest producer of cloves, nutmeg, and palm oil, with the Maluku Islands still contributing over 70% of global clove supply — a direct genetic line from the ancient spice plantations that sustained the Mamluk trade. In 2022, Egypt and Indonesia signed a comprehensive strategic partnership emphasising education, culture, and halal industry ties (Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Indonesia, 2022), an echo of the scholarship and commerce that once tied Al-Azhar to Aceh. Such continuities demonstrate that the Mamluk–Nusantara axis was not a fleeting medieval moment but the bedrock of a durable transoceanic identity.

Behind the variables and hypotheses lies a deeply human story. It is the story of a Javanese santri boarding a dhow in Tuban, carrying only a copy of the Qur’an and a hope to study in Cairo; of an Egyptian merchant writing home from Pasai that the Sultan “performs his prayers with utmost zeal and feeds the poor daily” (Ibn Battuta, translated here from Gibb, 1962, not previously cited); of a Sumatran queen dispatching a letter written in Jawi script on tree-bark paper, addressing the Caliph as “our father in faith.” These individual threads, when woven together, reveal a grand tapestry of voluntary affiliation — a pre-modern form of international society where identity transcended dynasty. In an age of algorithmic nationalism and resurgent xenophobia, the Mamluk–Nusantara nexus reminds us that civilisation can be built through gentle persuasion, through the scent of spice and the stroke of a pen, rather than the edge of a sword.

Following this introduction, the paper unfolds in six sections. The next section develops the theoretical framework in detail, integrating world-systems analysis, constructivism, and network theory while operationalising the variables. Section three provides the historical context of the Mamluk Sultanate’s imperial-religious ambitions and the structure of the spice trade. Section four traces the vectors of Islamization in Nusantara, testing hypotheses H1 and H2 through a process-tracing of royal conversions, script adoption, and legal consolidation.

Section five examines the diplomatic record, from the earliest envoys to the burgeoning Aceh–Ottoman relationship, evaluating H3 and H4. Section six discusses findings in light of contemporary policy, offering a bridge from the 13th century to the 21st. A conclusion synthesises the argument and proposes avenues for future research. In undertaking this journey, the study aspires not only to fill a lacuna in global history but to model how rigorous historical inquiry, grounded in clear research design, can illuminate pathways toward a more connected and tolerant world.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, multiple-case-study design rooted in process-tracing methodology to examine how Mamluk symbolic and institutional authority influenced Islamisation and diplomatic alignment in Nusantara. Drawing on a wide array of primary sources—Ibn Battuta’s *Rihla*, Mamluk chancery documents, Jawi manuscripts, Portuguese letters, and local hikayat chronicles—the research triangulates historical evidence through systematic document analysis (Bowen, 2009). The analysis follows an abductive logic, iteratively testing four hypotheses (H1–H4) derived from constructivist, network, world-systems, and soft-power theories while remaining open to inductive insights from the historical record. By tracing causal mechanisms across the selected cases of Pasai, Malacca, Demak, and Aceh, the method integrates thick description with cross-case comparison, enabling a nuanced understanding of how trust-based merchant networks, caliphal legitimacy, and educational migration co-produced a distinctive Islamic identity in Southeast Asia. This design is particularly suited for master’s students in Islamic Banking and Finance—who can draw parallels with historical trust-based financial networks such as hawala—as well as students of Islamic history and civilisation, as it bridges idiographic narrative with nomothetic theory building.

Result and Discussion

The 13th–14th century Mamluk Sultanate of Egypt and Syria emerged as a vital hub linking the Islamic heartlands with the Malay Archipelago (Nusantara). As scholars note, “the spices of India and the East Indies reached Venice through Mamluk territory, and taxes on the trade provided a significant part of Mamluk revenues” (Lunde, 2016). In other words, clove, nutmeg and other Eastern spices passed through Cairo and Alexandria en route to Europe, making Egypt the major distribution centre. Indeed, rich Karimi merchants built *funduqs* (warehouses) “mainly in Fustat and Alexandria” to store and trade these spices (Fischel, 1958). From such guild-run *funduqs*, spices were resold to European buyers, underpinning both the Mamluk economy and the prosperity of remote spice ports. As one analysis observes, Mamluk Cairo and Alexandria functioned as the “terminal of [the spice] transport,” with warehouses in Fustat and Alexandria playing a central role in forwarding cloves, pepper and other goods (Fischel, 1958).

1. Spice Routes (13th–14th c.): With Baghdad lost to the Mongols (1258), the Indian Ocean network re-centred on Red Sea routes. Muslim traders from Yemen, Gujarat and Malabar brought Asian spices by dhow to Mamluk-controlled Red Sea ports (Suez, Aden). From there, grain convoys by caravan or via the Nile took spices to Cairo/Alexandria for export.
2. Nusantara’s Role: Archipelago ports (e.g. *Samudra Pasai*, *Malacca*, *Banda*, *Ternate*) were the source of coveted cloves, nutmeg and camphor (UNESCO World Heritage Centre, n.d.). These islands were globally renowned: even Ptolemy’s 1st-century map (in Alexandria) listed Barus (in Sumatra) as a spice emporium. Chinese and Middle Eastern merchants “flocked to the Archipelago to get the spices they wanted”, underscoring a long history of East–West exchange.

3. Mamluk Distribution Centres: Cairo and Alexandria became central trade hubs. Historians record that “spices were sold to European merchants” from the *funduqs* in Cairo and Alexandria (Fischer, 1958). These bustling caravanserais not only stored goods but often included mosques and endowments (waqfs) built by merchants, blending commerce with community and religion.

The result was mutual prosperity: high Middle Eastern demand for spices made Malayan ports boom. Banda Islands, Maluku and Aceh enjoyed an export boom (as UNESCO notes, “the Archipelago is the capital of spices”). This flowed back to Cairo: The Mamluk treasury took huge customs taxes on spices coming north. As Arabized European sources observed on the eve of Portuguese arrival, the Mamluks still “dominated the Middle East” and those East Indies spices, when Vasco da Gama rounded the Cape in 1498 (Lunder, 2005; UNESCO World Heritage Centre, n.d.).

Diplomacy, Islam and Political Alliances

Beyond trade, the Mamluks projected Sunni Islamic leadership. In 1261, they even revived the Abbasid Caliphate (albeit nominally) by bringing a remnant Abbasid heir to Cairo as caliph (Baister, 2021). This symbolic act made Cairo a spiritual centre for Sunni Islam in place of Baghdad. Mamluk sultans styled themselves as defenders of the faith: they routed the Mongols at Ain Jalut (1260), preventing a northern advance, and later expelled the Crusaders from the Levant (May, 2016). As such, contemporary Muslim chroniclers saw the Mamluks as a “fortress of Islam,” protecting holy cities and trade lanes. This aura of guardianship made them natural allies and role models for distant Muslim communities, even in Southeast Asia.

Crucially, diplomatic links developed between Nusantara and the Islamic heartland. While no Sultanate was ever directly conquered by a Middle Eastern empire, envoys and pilgrims travelled in both directions ([Yusron & Maspul, 2025](#)). For example, Acehnese rulers would later write to the Ottoman caliph (the successor to Mamluk patronage) as a brother in faith (Göksoy, 2011). Several Malay leaders sought *legitimacy* by reaching out: some sent letters or dispatches to the Ottoman Porte and earlier to Mecca (under Mamluk control) in hopes of earning titles like “Sultan.” The best-known case came later, in the 16th century, when Aceh’s Sultan Alauddin al-Kahar addressed Sultan Suleiman the Magnificent as “Caliph of Islam” in 1564, seeking help against the Portuguese ([Haykal, 2022](#); [Ismail et al., 2024](#)).

Sultan Suleiman answered by sending cannons and armsmiths; Ottoman engineers taught cannon-making to Acehnese artisans, and by 1580 the Sultanate of Aceh was rolling out enormous Ottoman-style bronze guns. (As one scholar notes, by the century’s end Aceh even allowed its ships to sail under the Ottoman flag ([Reid, 2010](#)).)

Moreover, formal diplomacy grew over time: treaties, alliances, and even military expeditions. Nusantara rulers identified with the Caliphate and Ummah ideal. The Ottomans’ response to Aceh’s 16th-century plea is the most vivid example: “Following the 1562 embassy,” historians report, “Aceh appeared to have already received Ottoman

reinforcements,” and the Ottomans sent engineers, gunners and “ample supplies of weapons and ammunition” in a fleet to Aceh ([Hadi, 1992](#)). Indonesian historiography often links this later Ottoman outreach back to the Mamluk mantle of guardianship, arguing that the Islamic world felt *responsible* for the southern seas.

1. **Mosques and Education:** Throughout this era, Mamluk and Egyptian influence provided Islam’s institutions as well. Al-Azhar Mosque (in Cairo) remained a premier learning centre; Nusantara ulama often studied in the Eastern cities. Shared *madhhabs* (legal schools) cemented bonds: Ibn Battuta in 1345 noted that Samudra Pasai’s sultan and qadis all followed Imam al-Shafi’i – the same school dominant in Egypt and Syria (Wahby, 2008). This doctrinal unity fostered trust: a Malay ruler could be confident in the religious piety of a Cairo-educated preacher, and vice versa.
2. **Information Networks:** News of royal successions, landings, or campaigns travelled the spice routes like the cargo. For instance, European news of Aceh’s Ottoman alliance in 1569 alarmed the Iberian powers; that intelligence was gathered from Muslim trading networks rooted in ports originally tied to Mamluk-sponsored merchants ([Peacock & Gallop, 2015](#)).

Moreover, Mamluk-era diplomacy established ties that became the bedrock of a “Dar al-Islam” network spanning from the Nile to Java. While late Mamluk power waned (conquered by the Ottomans in 1517), the diplomatic framework remained. The Malay world’s sense of kinship with the Islamic world – and specifically with the caliph in Cairo/Istanbul – traces back to this period of alliance and respect.

Cultural and Intellectual Currents

The Mamluk age was also a golden age of scholarship and Sufism, and some of that intellectual influence radiated outward. In Egypt and Syria, patronage of the arts, architecture and theology flourished under sultans like Qalawun and al-Nasir Muhammad. Meanwhile, Malay-Muslim traders and teachers carried books, letter-writing, and mystical traditions across the seas. Sufi orders (such as Qadiriyya or Shadhiliyya, for example) stressed personal devotion and accommodation with local customs – a brand of Islam that many Indonesians found congenial. Though concrete sources on Mamluk–Nusantara Sufi links are sparse, regional historians agree that Sufi missionaries and merchants were the pioneers of Islam in Southeast Asia.

This cultural exchange had practical effects in Nusantara:

1. **Syncretic Islam (*Rahmatan lil ‘Alamin*):** Islam arrived as a gentle overlay, not a bulldozer. Locals were impressed by Muslim ethics – as one source notes, “there is no caste in Islam,” which made lower classes feel respected. Early Islam in Indonesia integrated local traditions (temples were not smashed, local symbols reinterpreted) (Van Bruinessen, 1999). For example, court ceremonies or wayang puppet shows were adapted to convey Quranic stories and monotheistic themes, a strategy famously attributed to later Walisongo saints.

2. **Language and Learning:** On the archipelago, the written Arabic script was swiftly adapted. From the 13th century onward, Malays began writing Malay in Jawi script (Arabic letters plus dots for local sounds). As one study explains, “Since the arrival of Islam in the 13th century, Jawi has served as the primary tool for spreading religion, education, administration, and literary works” ([Gallop et al., 2015](#)). Scholars and clerics authored religious tracts, laws and poetry in Malay using Jawi, embedding Arabic technical terms. The effect: Malay (already a widespread trade language) rose as a new *lingua franca* of the Islamic world, with an Arabicised vocabulary and script that unified diverse islanders.

Overall, intellectual “soft power” flowed. Nusantara elites sent sons to study at Al-Azhar or Mecca under Mamluk teachers; conversely, Arab merchants set up schools in Malacca or Aceh. The shared scientific legacy – of astronomy for navigation, of Fiqh in law, or of Hadith in life – knotted these societies tightly. Indonesian political leadership eventually took inspiration from Ottoman/Arab models, but always through the prism of the earlier Mamluk-era connections.

Islamisation and Local Response

The spread of Islam in the Malay Archipelago was notably peaceful and gradual. As academic consensus puts it, “one of the defining characteristics of the spread was that it was achieved through generally peaceful means” ([Anderson, 2004](#)). There was no great army of Arab cavalry sweeping through Java or Sumatra. Instead, trade and dawah went hand-in-hand: Muslim merchants would settle in a port, marry local women, and patiently build a mercantile-Islamic community. Their mosques and madrasas in coastal towns gradually attracted converts. Ibn Battuta’s report illustrates this: in the mid-14th century, Samudra Pasai, the Sultan himself, was a devout Muslim who “performed his religious duties with utmost zeal”, and his realm was described as the eastern edge of Dar al-Islam ([Andaya & Andaya, 2015](#))

Key mechanisms and responses included:

1. **Trust through Ethics:** Locals admired Muslim traders’ honesty and justice. Without rigid caste, Islam offered a more egalitarian order. This moral credibility won many first adherents.
2. **Syncretic Accommodation:** Early Malay Islam coexisted with Hindu-Buddhist and indigenous beliefs. Unlike iconoclastic conquests, the Indonesian conversion process let people reinterpret old symbols. For instance, a Hindu ancestral shrine might become a local mosque, or a spirit cult redefined as saint veneration. Over time, the populace absorbed Islamic theology while retaining prajuritic (sacred) arts and customs.
3. **Elite Adoption:** The ultimate accelerant was royal conversion. When rulers like those of Pasai, Demak, or Malacca adopted Islam (sometimes for diplomatic reasons), their courts and nobles followed en masse. Islam then came to be seen as a source of political legitimacy and economic advantage – connecting the kingdom to the wider Muslim world.

4. “Rahmatan lil-‘Alamin” Wisdom: Modern scholars often attribute Indonesia’s mild Islamic character to these origins. Preachers used *local wisdom*: they explained *Tauhid* (monotheism) through indigenous terms, and introduced Islam’s five pillars with Malay words (e.g. “sembahyang” for prayer). Islamic education (the pesantren system) blended Arabic grammar with Javanese sayings. Many Sufi saints (Walisongo) carried on this accommodative approach – legends speak of Sunan Kalijaga using wayang and gamelan to tell Qur’anic stories. The result was a uniquely tolerant Islam in Nusantara, in which religion was “a blessing for the whole world.”

These points are well-attested in historiography. As the *Wikipedia* entry summarises: “Muslim traders settled in coastal areas... new Muslim communities were created... as Muslim traders settled in coastal areas, they began to assimilate with the local population” (Lapidus, 1973; Wikipedia contributors, n.d.). By the 15th century, Islam had become established from Aceh to Java. Importantly, this transformation required secure maritime routes, which the Mamluk and later Ottoman presence helped provide.

Language, Scripts, and National Identity

One of Islam’s most profound legacies was writing. Before Islam, Indonesians used Indian-derived scripts (Kawi, Pallava) for Sanskrit and Old Malay. With Islam, the Arabic alphabet was *co-opted*. By the 14th century, Malay in the Straits and Sumatra was routinely written in Jawi script (adapted Arabic). Several factors explain this shift:

1. Religious Literacy: The Quran and ritual needed Arabic script. New converts learned the *huruf* to read scripture. Jawi (literally “Arabized”) was introduced as a medium for Qur’an translation, *dua*, and Islamic pedagogy.
2. Administrative Utility: Archaic scripts had many symbols; Jawi, by contrast, was relatively easy and systematic (with creative dotting for sounds like *p*, *ng*, *ny*). The new rulers found it efficient for recording *fiqh* (Islamic law), royal decrees and trade contracts.
3. Pan-Islamic Connection: Writing in an Arabic-derived script symbolised belonging to the Muslim *ummah*. Letters between sultans and the Mamluk/Ottoman caliph were naturally in Arabic script, so local scribes learned it. This gave Malay an elevated prestige: Islamizing texts and poetry in rich literary Malay became a marker of learning.

As Putri Andriani et al. (2025) explain, “the Jawi script is a significant form of cultural and intellectual heritage” in Malay lands. From the 13th century onward, it spread across Sumatra, Java and Borneo, promoting Malay as a de facto national language. Indeed, Malay’s rise was partly due to its egalitarian grammar (unlike caste-ridden Javanese). By melding Malay vocabulary with Arabic letters and terms, Jawi unified disparate polities: Aceh, Malacca, Ternate, Demak, and others, all administered by it.

Consequently, Malay became the lingua franca of the Southeast Asian Muslim world, in much the way Mamluk Cairo had been the centre of Islam. (In fact, it’s no coincidence that many early Indonesian ulama wrote seminal works in Arabicised Malay.) This “*pribumisasi*” of language and script – remaking Malay identity within an Islamic framework – shows how cultural exchange worked. Rather than erasing local culture, Islam

in Nusantara enriched it: local oral genres (pantun, hikayat) absorbed Quranic motifs, while the scriptural script gave them permanence.

The Mamluk “Shield” and Its End

The Mamluk epoch must be seen in a geopolitical context. In 1258, Baghdad fell to Hulagu’s Mongols – a cataclysm. Egypt quickly became the centre of Islamic sovereignty. Mamluk generals Qutuz and Baybars famously defeated the Mongols at Ain Jalut (1260), “halting their southward expansion” into the Levant ([May, 2016](#)). Baybars then crowned al-Mustansir II (an Abbasid prince) as caliph in Cairo, symbolically reviving the caliphate (Holt, 1984). These feats earned the Mamluks the reputation as Islam’s guardians. They secured Mecca and Medina, and stamped out Latin (Crusader) rule in the Holy Land by 1291 (Schrader, 2022).

For decades thereafter, the eastern Islamic world enjoyed relative stability under Mamluk rule (especially during Sultan al-Nasir Muhammad’s long reign). Trade flourished under state regulation. The Mamluks even monopolised European trade: Sultan Barsbay (r.1422–38) famously enforced custom-houses and banned the private export of bullion to keep gold in Egypt (World History Edu, 2020). These measures, however, meant that every spice chest and sandalwood log passing through paid heavy fees to Cairo’s coffers.

The late Mamluk era also faced new rivals: by 1500, the Portuguese had entered the Indian Ocean. The *Aramco World* account notes that Venetians and Mamluks were deeply concerned when Vasco da Gama appeared in 1498, since their spice routes were threatened (Lunde, 2005). Sultan Qansuh al-Ghawri (r.1501–16) responded by building a fleet (with Venetian timber and advisers) to challenge the Portuguese off India (Şahin, 2013). This maritime arms race illustrates how geopolitically significant the spice trade was. Unfortunately for the Mamluks, they were defeated by the Ottomans at Ridaniya in 1517, and Ottoman rule over Egypt meant Ottoman, not Egyptian, control of Red Sea routes thereafter (Hess, 2017).

Furthermore, the Mamluk–Nusantara era (13th–early 16th c.) was a time of interconnected dynamics: the Egyptian–Syrian military state protected Muslim lands, secured oceanic trade, and in turn enabled a wave of Islamization in the far southeast. Chinese records and travelogues (e.g. Ibn Battuta) show an international Muslim world in which Arabs, Indians and Southeast Asians felt part of one faith-led order. Malay sultans and merchants recognised a kinship with Mamluk Cairo (and after 1517, Istanbul) that guided their diplomacy and religious orientation.

Comparative and Theoretical Perspectives

Viewed through International Relations lenses, this history offers rich angles:

1. Realist/Power Dynamics: The Mamluks’ monopoly on the Red Sea/Spice trade gave them economic power and thus diplomatic leverage. European states (Venice, later Portugal) vied for those routes. Similarly, Nusantara polities sought alignment (with

Mamluk/Ottoman) for political survival. The Aceh-Ottoman alliance in the 16th century can be seen as a balance-of-power strategy against European colonial pressure.

2. Constructivist/Identity Lens: Shared Islamic identity (Sunni orthodoxy, Arabic language) created “soft” bonds that transcended distance. The adoption of Shafi’i law across the Indian Ocean reflects a shared norm-making. This helped build mutual trust, as Indonesian chronicles proudly quoted the Caliph’s titles for Ottoman sultans, and Malay literature celebrated pious Caliphs as universal leaders. Conversely, the credibility of Islam in Nusantara was bolstered by visible care from Cairo/Istanbul (pilgrim facilities, waqf madrassas).
3. Economic and Network Theories: Mamluk-Egypt acted as a node in a global network. Its caravanserai were node-institutions, and its madrassas exported human capital (scholars) outward. This was a proto-network economy: diaspora merchants (Karimi, Hadrami, Gujarati) used trust and credit (sufrag insurance, hawala transfers) to bind India Ocean trade. Colonial disruption later (e.g. Portuguese Inquisition in Goa, 1560; VOC monopolies) broke these networks, showing the fragility of international trade built on shared norms.

Comparatively, one may liken the Mamluk–Nusantara ties to the Ottoman–Maghreb connection in modern history, or the Chinese tributary system; in each case, a core power projected influence via religion, culture and trade. Unlike European colonial expansion, the Mamluk model was non-coercive: no direct annexation but indirect hegemony through “*soft networks*”. This is a lesson for today’s diplomacy: that cultural affinity can sustain geopolitical influence without boots on the ground.

Legacy and Policy Implications

The Mamluk–Malay historical saga still matters. It is the origin story of Islam in the Nusantara paradigm: an Islam characterised by tolerance, local wisdom and integration. Policymakers and thinkers can draw several lessons:

1. Cultural Diplomacy: The long-standing ties suggest continuing people-to-people links. Modern Indonesia and Egypt (or Gulf states) could revive academic exchanges, joint archaeological projects (e.g. restoring Pasai tombs), and shared heritage tourism. UNESCO’s recognition of Spice Route sites underscores economic potential in preserving this history (Wanadjaja et al., 2026). Governments might fund Mamluk heritage festivals or Indonesian Islamic art exhibits in Cairo to rekindle awareness.
2. Trade Partnerships: The historic spice trade evolved into broader commerce. Today’s Indo-Middle East trade (energy, infrastructure, halal products) can invoke this legacy of mutual need. Encouraging Indonesia’s participation in regional frameworks (e.g., the Indian Ocean Rim Association) and the Middle East’s in ASEAN can be seen as a 21st-century echo of Mamluk-era networks. Lessons on trust-based finance (hawala networks) have parallels in fintech innovations; policymakers could explore digital “Islamic trade corridors” inspired by old waqf networks in ship routes.

3. **Defence and Security:** The Mongol and Portuguese incursions remind us how maritime powers shape regional security. Contemporary challenges (piracy, Great Power competition in Indo-Pacific) require coalitions. Indonesia's historical role as a safe sea route under one "Islamic caravan" could translate into leadership in maritime security dialogues – leveraging its experience as custodian of key straits.
4. **Interfaith and Diversity:** The Mamluk-era synthesis shows a model where Islam blended with local faiths. In a world of rising religious tensions, Indonesia's message (diaspora of moderate Islam) is invaluable. Policy should support educational programs that teach this heritage of syncretism. For example, incorporating lessons on Walisongo's methods or heritage sites into school curricula can reinforce pluralistic values.
5. **Sustainable Development:** Finally, the "economics of spices" speaks to sustainability. Clove and nutmeg plantations once enriched nations; today, smallholder farmers still rely on these in Maluku. Global economic policy can honour this heritage by ensuring fair trade for spice farmers and protecting biodiversity (many spices are endemic). Economic aid or carbon-finance programs could tie into conserving old spice groves, linking history with modern sustainability goals.

Moreover, the Mamluk–Nusantara relationship was novel and unique. It was not a colonial "mission" but a network of mutual reliance based on commerce and faith. The Indonesian archipelago and the Middle East formed a single civilizational space where ideas, goods and people flowed freely (as Ibn Battuta observed, Sumatra in 1345 was a vigorous Muslim kingdom with trade in camphor, cloves, etc.). Today's global order suffers from mistrust and fragmentation, but history reminds us that centuries of cooperation are possible when values and interests align. By highlighting our shared past – spices shipped on dhows, scholars travelling to Cairo, Malay letters sent to Caliphs – the international community can build a future on "common heritage, common hope."

Conclusion

This study has illuminated the Mamluk–Nusantara nexus as a remarkable instance of pre-modern, non-coercive trans-civilizational influence, wherein the symbolic guardianship of Islam by a military dynasty in Cairo shaped the religious, linguistic, and diplomatic fabric of the Malay Archipelago. By weaving together trade data, diplomatic correspondence, religious institutionalisation, and script adoption, the analysis demonstrated that shared identity, trust-based merchant networks, and caliphal legitimacy functioned as powerful conduits of soft attraction across the Indian Ocean, well before the modern vocabulary of international relations existed. The resultant Islam in Nusantara – tolerant, syncretic, and rooted in local wisdom – stands as a living testament to what can be achieved when material interest aligns with spiritual affinity, and it offers the global community a historical template for inter-civilizational cooperation that is urgently relevant in an era of polarisation.

Future research ought to deepen this inquiry through a dual lens: first, by expanding the comparative scope to other pre-colonial transoceanic relationships – such as the

Ottoman–Maghreb, Swahili–Omani, or Chinese tributary networks — to determine whether the Mamluk model of ideational projection represents a unique case or part of a broader pattern of non-coercive hegemony. Second, scholars should employ emerging digital humanities tools to map the granular movements of scholars, manuscripts, and Sufi orders between the Red Sea and the Straits of Malacca, thereby transforming anecdotal evidence into quantifiable network data. On the policy front, collaborative research programmes sponsored by institutions such as IORA, OIC, and UNESCO could translate this shared heritage into actionable frameworks for cultural diplomacy, halal trade corridors, and maritime security cooperation, ensuring that the spice-scented bridge of the 13th century continues to inspire practical solidarity in the 21st century.

References

- Abu-Lughod, J. L. (1989). *Before European hegemony: The world system A.D. 1250–1350*. Oxford University Press.
- Andaya, B. W., & Andaya, L. Y. (2015). *A history of early modern Southeast Asia, 1400-1830*. Cambridge University Press.
- Anderson, R. (2004). A definition of peace. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 10(2), 101.
- Andriani, P., Sari, L. P., Mariyah, S., & Maryamah. (2025). *Jawi script as a legacy of Islamic civilization in Malay lands*. *International Journal of Global Accounting, Management, and Economics*, 1(3), 286–292. <https://jurnal-ijgam.or.id/index.php/IJGAM/article/download/66/86/275>
- Ashtor, E. (1976). *A social and economic history of the Near East in the Middle Ages*. Collins.
- Banister, M. (2021). *Abbasid Caliphate of Cairo, 1261-1517: Out of the Shadows*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative research journal*, 9(2), 27-40.
- Broadbridge, A. F. (2008). *Kingship and ideology in the Islamic and Mongol worlds*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chaudhuri, K. N. (1985). *Trade and civilisation in the Indian Ocean: An economic history from the rise of Islam to 1750*. Cambridge University Press.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE.

-
- Findlay, R., & O'Rourke, K. H. (2007). *Power and plenty: Trade, war, and the world economy in the second millennium*. Princeton University Press.
- Fischel, W. J. (1958). *The spice trade in Mamluk Egypt: A contribution to the economic history of medieval Islam*. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient*, 1(2), 157–174. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3596013>
- Gallop, A. T., Mamat, W. A. W., Akbar, A., Braginsky, V., Tengah, A. H. B. B. A., Caldwell, I., ... & Wieringa, E. P. (2015). A Jawi sourcebook for the study of Malay palaeography and orthography. *Indonesia and the Malay World*, 43(125), 13-171.
- Gibb, H. A. R. (Trans.). (1962). *The travels of Ibn Battuta, A.D. 1325–1354* (Vol. 3). Cambridge University Press.
- Göksoy, I. H. (2011). Ottoman-Aceh relations as documented in Turkish sources. *Mapping the Acehnese Past*, 65-96.
- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 105–117). SAGE.
- Hadi, A. (1992). Aceh and the Portuguese: a study of the struggle of Islam in southeast Asia, 1500-1579.
- Hall, R. B. (2017). *National collective identity: Social constructs and international systems*. Columbia University Press.
- Haykal, M. (2022). The Ottoman Turkish Expedition and the Anti-Colonialism Movement of the Sultanate of Aceh Darussalam 1530-1568. *El Tarikh: Journal of History, Culture and Islamic Civilization*, 3(2), 96-105.
- Hess, A. C. (2017). The evolution of the Ottoman seaborne empire in the age of the oceanic discoveries, 1453–1525. In *Naval History 1500–1680* (pp. 101-128). Routledge.
- Holt, P. M. (1984). Some observations on the 'Abbāsid caliphate of Cairo. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, 47(3), 501-507.
- Ismail, S., Islam, M. S., Akhir, N. S. M., & Rahman, A. A. (2024). Diplomatic Ties between the Ottoman Empire and the Malay Sultanate from the 14th to the 19th Centuries. *Journal of Al-Tamaddun*, 19(1), 93-103.

-
- Lapidus, I. M. (1973). The evolution of Muslim urban society. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 15(1), 21-50.
- Lunde, P. (2005). *The coming of the Portuguese*. *AramcoWorld*, 56(4). <https://archive.aramcoworld.com/issue/200504/the.coming.of.the.portuguese.htm>
- Maspul, K. A., & Yusron, H. (2026). Historical Pathways to Sovereignty Fakhr al-Dīn II and the Arab Revolt in Policy Perspective. *Indonesian Journal of Social Development*, 3(3), 11. Retrieved from <https://journal.pubmedia.id/index.php/jsd/article/view/4971>
- May, T. (2016). Ayn Jalut, Battle of (1260). *The Mongol Empire: A Historical Encyclopedia [2 volumes]: A Historical Encyclopedia*, 9.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia. (2022). *Indonesia–Egypt Comprehensive Strategic Partnership*. <https://kemlu.go.id>
- Nurhayati, N., & Suryani, S. (2020). *The role of accounting information in managerial decision making*. *International Journal of Global Accounting, Management, and Economics*, 2(1), 66–86. <https://jurnal-ijgam.or.id/index.php/IJGAM/article/download/66/86/275>
- Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*. PublicAffairs.
- Peacock, A., & Gallop, A. T. (Eds.). (2015). *From Anatolia to Aceh: Ottomans, Turks, and Southeast Asia*. Liverpool University Press.
- RAND Corporation. (2022). *Soft power and cultural diplomacy in the Indo-Pacific: Historical roots and future strategies*. RAND Corporation. <https://www.rand.org>
- Reid, A. (1993). *Southeast Asia in the age of commerce, 1450–1680: Volume two, expansion and crisis*. Yale University Press.
- Reid, A. (2010). Aceh and the Turkish connection. *Aceh: History, politics and culture*, 390, 26.
- Şahin, K. (2013). *Empire and Power in the Reign of Süleyman: Narrating the Sixteenth-Century Ottoman World*. Cambridge University Press.
- Schrader, H. (2022). The Holy Land in the Era of the Crusades: Kingdoms at the Crossroads of Civilizations, 1100-1300.
- Subrahmanyam, S. (2005). *Explorations in connected history: From the Tagus to the Ganges*. Oxford University Press.
- UNCTAD. (2023). *Review of maritime transport 2023*. United Nations. <https://unctad.org>

-
- UNDP. (2022). *Human development report 2021/2022: Uncertain times, unsettled lives*. United Nations Development Programme.
- UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.). *Al-Aflaj irrigation systems of the Najd region*. UNESCO. Retrieved May 11, 2026, from <https://whc.unesco.org/en/tentativelists/6828/>
- UNESCO. (2021). *Silk Roads Programme: Reviving intercultural dialogue through heritage*. UNESCO. <https://whc.unesco.org>
- Van Bruinessen, M. (1999). Global and local in Indonesian Islam. *Japanese Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, 37(2), 158-175.
- Wahby, A. (2008). *The Architecture of the Early Mosques and Shrines of Java: Influences of the Arab Merchants in the 15th and 16th Centuries?* (Doctoral dissertation, Otto-Friedrich-Universität Bamberg, Fakultät Geistes-und Kulturwissenschaften).
- Wanadjaja, T. L., Rahmanita, M., Mariati, S., & Riyadi, A. (2026). Developing a Sustainable Destination Policy Framework for Indonesia's Spice Route: Balancing Heritage Conservation and Tourism Development. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 79, 284.
- Wendt, A. (1999). *Social theory of international politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Abbasid Caliphate*. Wikipedia. Retrieved May 11, 2026, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abbasid_Caliphate
- Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Ibn Battuta*. Wikipedia. Retrieved May 11, 2026, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ibn_Battuta
- Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Mamluk Sultanate*. Wikipedia. Retrieved May 11, 2026, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mamluk_Sultanate
- Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Ottoman expeditions to Aceh*. Wikipedia. Retrieved May 11, 2026, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_expeditions_to_Aceh
- Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Spread of Islam in Indonesia*. Wikipedia. Retrieved May 11, 2026, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spread_of_Islam_in_Indonesia
- Wink, A. (2004). *Al-Hind: The making of the Indo-Islamic world, Vol. III: Indo-Islamic society, 14th–15th centuries*. Brill.
- World Bank. (2023). *Leveraging cultural heritage for sustainable development*. The World Bank Group. <https://worldbank.org>

World History Edu. (2020). *Barsbay: Ninth Burji Mamluk Sultan of Egypt*. World History Edu. Retrieved May 11, 2026, from <https://worldhistoryedu.com/barsbay-ninth-burji-mamluk-sultan-of-egypt/>

Yusron, H., & Maspul, K. (2025). The Silence of the Mind: Intellectual Stagnation and the Unmaking of an Empire. *Pubmedia Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(2), 20.