Health & Medical Sciences Volume: 3, Number 2, 2026, *Page*: 1-11

Descriptive Analysis of Diabetes Mellitus Prevention Behavior in Communities Based on Literature Findings

Rahmawati Shoufiah

Poltekkes Kemenkes Kalimantan Timur, Indonesia

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.47134/phms.v3i2.554
*Correspondence: Rahmawati Shoufiah
Email: rshoufiah@gmail.com

Received: 20-12-2025
Accepted: 20-01-2026
Published: 20-02-2026

Abstract: This study aims to provide a descriptive analysis of preventive behaviors toward diabetes mellitus (DM) within community contexts based on findings from recent literature. Using a qualitative descriptive design through a library-based study, data were collected from academic journals, systematic reviews, and relevant official publications published between 2015 and 2025. The analysis employed thematic identification, data reduction, conceptual categorization, and inductive interpretation to synthesize patterns of community-based diabetes prevention practices. The results indicate that education, lifestyle modification, family involvement, and culturally adapted interventions are the most effective components in fostering preventive behaviors. Moreover, community participation and social support significantly enhance adherence and behavioral consistency, while the integration of digital technologies (mHealth) expands accessibility and engagement. The findings reaffirm the relevance of behavioral frameworks such as the Health Belief Model and Theory of Planned Behavior, emphasizing that sustainable prevention depends on the interaction between personal motivation and sociocultural context. This study contributes to a deeper understanding of how community-driven strategies can mitigate diabetes risks and highlights the importance of culturally sensitive health promotion. Future research should adopt triangulation methods and longitudinal analysis to evaluate long-term impacts and expand insights into the social determinants influencing preventive behavior.

Keywords: Diabetes Prevention, Community-Based Intervention, Qualitative Descriptive Study, Behavioral Health, Public Health Promotion

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) has emerged as one of the most significant global health challenges in the 21st century, with its prevalence continuing to rise despite extensive preventive efforts. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that by 2030, diabetes will become the seventh leading cause of death worldwide. This alarming projection underscores the urgent need for more effective prevention strategies, particularly at the community level where lifestyle factors and sociocultural determinants play pivotal roles (Shirvani et al, 2021).

Recent epidemiological data indicate a steady increase in the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), especially in low- and middle-income countries where urbanization, dietary transitions, and sedentary lifestyles contribute significantly to the disease burden (Gyawali et al, 2018). The global trend demonstrates that prevention cannot

solely rely on clinical management) (instead, it requires culturally appropriate, community-driven interventions that address behavioral risk factors holistically (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019).

Community-based preventive behaviors have been identified as critical determinants of diabetes outcomes. Educational interventions that focus on lifestyle modification, including nutrition and physical activity, have been shown to improve glycemic control and reduce body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (Alhuwayfi et al, 2024) (Shirvani et al, 2021). Such evidence highlights the importance of structured, context-sensitive health promotion within communities as a cornerstone of diabetes prevention.

However, challenges remain in translating evidence-based interventions into real-world settings. Many communities face barriers such as limited healthcare access, linguistic and cultural differences, economic constraints, and social isolation that hinder participation in preventive programs (Dimassi et al, 2025) (Zhao et al, 2023). Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive understanding of behavioral patterns and the contextual factors influencing preventive practices.

Social support and family involvement have also been recognized as essential components in sustaining preventive behaviors. Interventions that integrate peer networks, family engagement, and community health workers have demonstrated greater adherence to preventive measures and improved long-term health outcomes (Akbar et al, 2025) (Tini et al, 2023). This underscores the social dimension of health behavior, particularly in collective cultures where family and community influence are profound.

Cultural adaptation has become increasingly important in intervention design. Programs that align with local beliefs, languages, and customs are significantly more effective than generic ones, particularly among minority or marginalized populations (Ali et al, 2020) (Dimassi et al, 2025) (Spurr et al, 2024). This suggests that prevention strategies must not only inform but also resonate with the community's cultural identity.

In recent years, technological innovation has enhanced the reach and efficiency of preventive interventions. Telehealth and digital platforms have provided new avenues for health education and self-monitoring, especially in remote areas (Azees et al, 2025) (Irawan et al, 2025). These tools complement traditional community-based methods by offering scalable, real-time support for individuals at risk of diabetes.

Despite these advancements, disparities persist in diabetes prevention outcomes. The integration of evidence-based approaches within diverse sociocultural contexts remains uneven, reflecting the need for stronger cross-sector collaboration and policy alignment (Alhuwayfi et al, 2024). This imbalance presents a research gap that necessitates systematic analysis of preventive behaviors across different community settings.

The relevance of studying preventive behavior at the community level lies in its potential to reduce both the incidence and impact of diabetes through empowerment and education. Behavioral modification, when rooted in community engagement, fosters sustainable change that extends beyond individual actions (White et al, 2024). Thus, prevention becomes not only a medical imperative but a social movement.

Furthermore, mathematical and bibliometric models have begun to shed light on the global landscape of community-based interventions, identifying emerging trends and research gaps (Azees et al, 2025) (Irawan et al, 2025). These studies emphasize that while

progress has been made, systematic synthesis of empirical evidence is essential to guide future program development.

The current literature reveals that multi-component interventions—combining education, physical activity, family involvement, and technological integration—yield the most significant outcomes (Akbar et al, 2025) (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019). However, the heterogeneity in implementation approaches and contextual adaptations suggests the need for descriptive analyses that capture the nuances of preventive behavior across diverse populations.

This article, therefore, aims to provide a descriptive review of diabetes preventive behaviors within community settings, grounded in a synthesis of current literature. By examining the behavioral, cultural, and structural factors influencing prevention, this study seeks to identify best practices and persistent challenges in community-level diabetes management.

The urgency of this topic lies not only in the increasing prevalence of diabetes but also in the growing recognition that sustainable prevention requires active community participation. Without addressing behavioral determinants and contextual barriers, clinical interventions alone will remain insufficient to curb the global diabetes epidemic (Alhuwayfi et al, 2024) (Dimassi et al, 2025).

Ultimately, the purpose of this article is twofold: to elucidate patterns and determinants of preventive behavior for diabetes within community contexts and to contribute to the development of more culturally attuned, participatory health strategies. The findings are expected to inform policymakers, practitioners, and researchers in advancing both theoretical understanding and practical implementation of diabetes prevention initiatives.

By bridging empirical evidence and applied practice, this review aspires to strengthen the collective capacity to combat diabetes through informed, community-driven behavioral change—an essential pathway toward global health equity and sustainable disease prevention.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research design with a descriptive approach through library-based study (literature review). The qualitative descriptive approach was chosen to explore, interpret, and synthesize patterns of preventive behavior for diabetes mellitus (DM) at the community level by analyzing relevant literature and empirical evidence. This method allows researchers to provide a rich, contextual understanding of how community-based interventions and behavioral determinants interact in diabetes prevention (Bingham, 2023) (Pratt, 2025). The descriptive design emphasizes a systematic presentation of phenomena as they appear in the literature, without manipulation of variables, aiming to capture the depth and diversity of existing research (Baillie, 2019) (Doyle et al, 2019).

The data sources in this study consist of academic books, peer-reviewed journal articles, official reports, and credible scientific documents related to community-based diabetes prevention behaviors. These materials were obtained through systematic literature searches in databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar. The inclusion criteria

focused on studies published between 2015 and 2025, written in English, and addressing behavioral, educational, or community-based approaches to diabetes prevention. Meanwhile, exclusion criteria included non-peer-reviewed materials, non-English publications, and studies that discussed diabetes management rather than prevention (Bandaranayake, 2024) (Granikov et al, 2020) (Jimenez et al, 2024) (Togia & Malliari, 2017).

The data collection technique used in this study was document and literature analysis, which involved identifying, reviewing, and synthesizing scholarly works relevant to the topic. The process began with the selection of keywords such as "community-based diabetes prevention," "behavioral intervention," and "health promotion," followed by filtering based on methodological quality and thematic relevance. This approach enables the researcher to map the scope of current knowledge and identify conceptual gaps that remain unexplored (Bingham, 2023) (Fife & Gossner, 2024).

The data analysis procedure followed four sequential stages: thematic identification, data reduction, conceptual categorization, and inductive conclusion drawing. In the first stage, all relevant data were coded and grouped according to recurring concepts or themes (e.g., education, physical activity, social support, cultural adaptation, and technology use). The second stage involved data reduction, where redundant or irrelevant information was excluded to maintain focus on the research objectives. The third stage involved categorizing core concepts based on similarities and differences across studies. Finally, inductive reasoning was employed to derive conclusions and synthesize theoretical insights from the literature (Belotto, 2018) (Kalpokaite & Radivojevic, 2018) (Vila-Henninger, 2022).

To ensure validity and reliability, several strategies were employed, including source triangulation and peer conceptual review. Triangulation was achieved by cross-verifying data from multiple academic sources (books, journal articles, and research reports) to enhance trustworthiness. Peer review involved discussing interpretations and categorizations with other researchers to minimize subjective bias and ensure analytical rigor (Abraham & P, 2024) (Bingham, 2023) (L. Doyle et al, 2019). The emphasis on transparency and systematic documentation aligns with current recommendations for qualitative research credibility (Pratt, 2025).

Overall, this methodological framework aligns with the study's objective to produce a comprehensive and accountable descriptive analysis of diabetes prevention behaviors within communities. The qualitative-descriptive approach, supported by systematic literature review, provides both theoretical and practical relevance by integrating diverse perspectives and empirical findings. This combination ensures that the study not only contributes to academic discourse but also offers insights applicable to community health promotion and policy design (Bandaranayake, 2024) (Bingham, 2023) (Jimenez et al, 2024).

Result and Discussion

The findings of this study highlight that community-based interventions play a pivotal role in shaping preventive behaviors against diabetes mellitus (DM). Evidence synthesized from recent literature indicates that integrated community programs focusing on education, lifestyle modification, and social engagement substantially improve metabolic outcomes and behavioral adherence among at-risk populations (Ariyanti & Rahman, 2024) (Gyawali et al, 2018) (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019). The reviewed studies consistently

demonstrate reductions in HbA1c, body weight, and waist circumference, accompanied by increased physical fitness and awareness of preventive practices, particularly when programs are tailored to local needs and supported by health professionals and peer networks.

1. Community-Based Interventions

Community-based interventions emerged as the most effective strategies in reducing the risk of type 2 DM. Programs integrating education, physical activity promotion, and lifestyle counseling achieved notable health improvements, including a 40% reduction in diabetes incidence in low- and middle-income countries (Gyawali et al, 2018) (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019). In Indonesia, the CERDIK behavioral program has shown measurable improvements in public awareness and preventive practices (Rezekiyah & Lestari, 2024). These findings underscore the potential of community-driven health initiatives in strengthening disease prevention and behavioral transformation.

2. Determinants of Preventive Behavior

The results reveal that knowledge and motivation are key determinants influencing preventive behavior. However, increased knowledge alone does not necessarily ensure positive behavioral changes. Factors such as perceived susceptibility, perceived benefits, and behavioral cues significantly affect individuals' engagement in preventive actions, consistent with the Health Belief Model framework (Agustina et al, 2020) (Teo et al, 2025). Psychological barriers—such as lack of motivation, time constraints, and low self-efficacy—remain significant obstacles. Additionally, prior family history of DM and health professional support were found to enhance individuals' commitment to prevention (Kalsum et al, 2023) (Ramadhani & Khotami, 2023).

3. Role of Family and Social Support

The presence of family and social support networks substantially reinforces adherence to preventive behaviors. Studies indicate that programs involving family members and community figures lead to improved compliance, enhanced self-management, and decreased risk of diabetic complications (Pipatpiboon et al, 2025) (Rohmah, 2019). Family encouragement and peer involvement foster motivation and accountability, creating an enabling environment for long-term behavioral consistency. This aligns with community health models emphasizing collective engagement in chronic disease prevention.

4. Cultural and Technological Integration

Another salient finding is the importance of cultural adaptation and technology integration in enhancing intervention effectiveness. Interventions tailored to cultural norms, language, and local belief systems demonstrated superior outcomes compared to standardized programs (Dimassi et al, 2025). The inclusion of digital platforms and mobile health (mHealth) applications further facilitated real-time monitoring, education dissemination, and peer communication (Azees et al, 2025). These findings suggest that future diabetes prevention programs must balance cultural sensitivity with technological innovation to achieve scalability and sustainability.

5. Challenges and Comparative Analysis

Despite their effectiveness, the reviewed studies reported several implementation challenges, including limited resources, heterogeneous intervention designs, and socioeconomic disparities. While studies from high-income regions emphasize structured healthcare systems and technology-assisted interventions, those from low-resource settings focus on community empowerment and volunteer-based education models (Dimassi et al, 2025) (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019). This divergence underscores the contextual variability of preventive efforts and the need for flexible frameworks that accommodate local realities.

6. Summary of Key Findings

Table 1. Community-Based DM Prevention Factors and Interventions

Factors/Interventions	Main Findings	Key References
Education & lifestyle promotion	Decrease in HbA1c, body weight, and diabetes risk	(Ariyanti & Rahman, 2024) (Gyawali et al, 2018) (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019)
Knowledge & motivation	Improve preventive behavior but not always sufficient	(Kalsum et al, 2023) (Ramadhani & Khotami, 2023) (Rohmah, 2019)
Family & healthcare support	Increase adherence, reduce complications	(Pipatpiboon et al, 2025) (Rohmah, 2019)
Barriers (motivation/time)	Reduce behavioral consistency	(Agustina et al, 2020) (Teo et al, 2025)
Cultural & technological adaptation	Increase program success and community involvement	(Azees et al, 2025) (Dimassi et al, 2025)

Overall, the synthesis indicates that effective diabetes prevention at the community level requires multi-component, culturally aligned, and technology-assisted strategies that combine health education, family involvement, and consistent behavioral reinforcement. These approaches not only improve physiological indicators but also foster sustainable community engagement and health literacy.

Discussion

The findings from the reviewed literature reveal that community-based interventions and individual behavioral determinants play central roles in shaping diabetes prevention behaviors across various social and cultural contexts. The integration of community participation, health education, and cultural adaptation emerges as a consistent factor enhancing the effectiveness of preventive programs (Dimassi et al, 2025) (Gyawali et al, 2018) (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019). These results align with behavioral theories such as the Health Belief Model (HBM) and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), both of which emphasize the importance of perceived susceptibility, perceived benefits, and self-efficacy in motivating preventive action (Agustina et al, 2020) (Teo et al, 2025).

From a theoretical perspective, the reviewed studies reinforce that knowledge and motivation are necessary but insufficient to drive behavioral change. Knowledge increases awareness, but without motivation, social reinforcement, and perceived personal relevance, preventive behaviors remain inconsistent (Kalsum et al, 2023) (Ramadhani & Khotami, 2023). This reflects the HBM's principle that behavior is influenced not only by cognitive understanding but also by emotional and contextual factors such as social norms and access to health resources (Agustina et al, 2020). Hence, successful prevention programs must incorporate behavioral reinforcement mechanisms, such as community support groups, regular feedback systems, and peer monitoring.

A key implication emerging from this synthesis is the critical role of family and social support in sustaining preventive behaviors. Studies have shown that emotional and instrumental support from family members improves adherence to dietary control, regular exercise, and medical check-ups (Pipatpiboon et al, 2025) (Rohmah, 2019). This finding strengthens the argument that prevention is not merely an individual responsibility but a socially embedded process. Community health frameworks emphasizing family inclusion—like the CERDIK program in Indonesia—illustrate how culturally integrated education fosters behavioral continuity and accountability (Rezekiyah & Lestari, 2024).

Furthermore, cultural adaptation and contextual sensitivity emerge as pivotal factors influencing the success of interventions. Dimassi et al. (2025) demonstrated that culturally adapted programs for Middle Eastern populations in high-income countries significantly enhanced participation and compliance. Similarly, Azees et al. (2025) noted that culturally grounded, community-driven initiatives provide both psychological safety and social legitimacy, increasing engagement rates. This underscores the necessity of designing health interventions that respect local traditions, language, and communal leadership structures, rather than imposing external models.

The analysis also identifies growing potential in technology-assisted interventions such as mobile health (mHealth) platforms. These technologies extend the reach of preventive education, support self-monitoring, and facilitate communication between patients and healthcare providers. When combined with community-based approaches, digital tools can overcome geographic and resource constraints, as evidenced in emerging programs cited by Azees et al. (2025). However, digital inequality and limited digital literacy remain significant barriers that must be addressed through targeted training and inclusive technology design.

Despite these positive outcomes, several limitations and challenges persist. Many reviewed studies report heterogeneity in intervention design, follow-up duration, and participant demographics, limiting cross-context comparability (Gyawali et al, 2018) (Shirinzadeh et al, 2019). Moreover, socioeconomic disparities and cultural stigmas surrounding chronic diseases still hinder participation, especially in rural and low-income communities. Researchers such as Dimassi et al. (2025) highlight the need for multi-sectoral collaboration—linking healthcare systems, educational institutions, and local organizations—to build long-term sustainability and equity in preventive health efforts.

In comparing results across contexts, this literature review suggests that multi-component, contextually adapted strategies yield superior outcomes. Programs that combine education, social support, lifestyle modification, and technological integration

achieve greater behavioral consistency and lower diabetes risk (Ariyanti & Rahman, 2024) (Teo et al, 2025). Conversely, interventions that focus narrowly on knowledge transfer or medical instruction tend to show temporary or minimal effects. The implication for practice is clear: sustainable diabetes prevention requires a holistic, participatory, and culturally informed framework that empowers communities to take collective action against modifiable risk factors.

Lastly, while the findings affirm the value of community-centered approaches, the literature reveals a methodological gap in evaluating long-term behavioral change and psychosocial outcomes. Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies, standardized evaluation metrics, and comparative analyses across cultural settings to deepen understanding of behavioral sustainability. Integrating behavioral science with digital innovation and policy advocacy could bridge existing gaps, ensuring that diabetes prevention transcends short-term awareness campaigns to achieve measurable, lasting impact.

Conclusion

This qualitative descriptive study concludes that community-based preventive behaviors toward diabetes mellitus (DM) are shaped by the dynamic interplay between individual awareness, social support, cultural adaptation, and educational interventions, where integrated community engagement—through continuous education, active family involvement, culturally contextualized health promotion, and the utilization of technological innovations such as mHealth—produces more sustainable behavioral outcomes in diabetes prevention. The findings reaffirm the applicability of the Health Belief Model and the Theory of Planned Behavior within culturally diverse contexts by demonstrating that preventive actions emerge not solely from knowledge acquisition but from collective reinforcement, perceived empowerment, and strengthened social capital. The study contributes to existing literature by emphasizing the role of inclusive participation, sociocultural sensitivity, and participatory health governance in enhancing accessibility and adherence to preventive practices, particularly in low-resource settings, while also acknowledging limitations related to variations in study design and follow-up duration across the reviewed literature, thereby underscoring the need for longitudinal, comparative, cross-cultural, and interdisciplinary research employing triangulation methods—such as interviews, observations, and participatory approaches—to further evaluate the long-term effectiveness of community-based interventions and inform policy makers, health practitioners, and researchers in developing inclusive, sustainable strategies to reduce diabetes risk and promote healthier lifestyles.

References

Abraham, D., & P, P. (2024). A Methodological Framework for Descriptive Phenomenological Research. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, *47*, 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1177/01939459241308071

Agustina, V., Rayanti, R., & Hidayah, N. (2020). Penerapan Perilaku Pencegahan Penyakit Diabetes Mellitus Menggunakan Health Belief Model Di Puskesmas Sidorejo Lor – Salatiga. *Jurnal Keperawatan Muhammadiyah*. https://doi.org/10.30651/jkm.v5i2.6280

Akbar, M., Sahar, J., Rekawati, E., Ayu, R., Sartika, D., & Gupta, P. (2025). The Effect of Community Based Intervention on People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Systematic Review. *Public Health of Indonesia*. https://doi.org/10.36685/phi.v11is1.884

Alhuwayfi, S., Alwakeel, A., Alhuwayfi, A., & Alhuwayfi, A. (2024). Systemic Review of Community-Based Interventions for Preventing Chronic Diseases in Preventive Medicine Practice: Review Article. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.21608/ejhm.2024.336533

Ali, S., Misra, S., Parekh, N., Murphy, B., & DiClemente, R. (2020). Preventing type 2 diabetes among South Asian Americans through community-based lifestyle interventions: A systematic review. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, *20*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101182

Ariyanti, R., & Rahman, F. (2024). Manfaat aktivitas fisik berbasis komunitas terhadap penderita diabetes mellitus: A scoping review. *Holistik Jurnal Kesehatan*. https://doi.org/10.33024/hjk.v18i5.279

Azees, A., Kadiyala, P., Mer, H., T, S., & M, B. (2025). Mapping the global research landscape and emerging trends on Community-Based Interventions for Diabetes prevention: A Bibliometric and Visual analysis. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.9790/0853-2406050112

Baillie, J. (2019). Commentary: An overview of the qualitative descriptive design within nursing research. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, *25*, 458–459. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987119881056

Bandaranayake, P. (2024). Application of Grounded Theory Methodology in Library and Information Science Research: An Overview. *Sri Lanka Library Review*. https://doi.org/10.4038/sllr.v38i2.70

Belotto, M. (2018). Data Analysis Methods for Qualitative Research: Managing the Challenges of Coding, Interrater Reliability, and Thematic Analysis. *The Qualitative Report*. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2018.3492

Bingham, A. (2023). From Data Management to Actionable Findings: A Five-Phase Process of Qualitative Data Analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *22*. https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231183620

Dimassi, A., Lunnay, B., Aylward, P., Tyndall, J., & Ward, P. (2025). Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus among people with Middle Eastern backgrounds living in high-income countries: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, *15*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2024-089793

Doyle, L., Mccabe, C., Keogh, B., Brady, A., & McCann, M. (2019). An overview of the qualitative descriptive design within nursing research. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, *25*, 443–455. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987119880234

Fife, S., & Gossner, J. (2024). Deductive Qualitative Analysis: Evaluating, Expanding, and Refining Theory. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *23*. https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069241244856

Granikov, V., Hong, Q., Crist, E., & Pluye, P. (2020). Mixed methods research in library and information science: A methodological review. *Library & Information Science Research*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2020.101003

Gyawali, B., Bloch, J., Vaidya, A., & Kallestrup, P. (2018). Community-based interventions for prevention of Type 2 diabetes in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Health Promotion International*. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/day081

Irawan, A., Anggriani, N., Andriyana, Y., & Abdulah, R. (2025). Mathematical Modelling for Community Based Intervention for Managing Diabetes: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, *18*, 2429–2440. https://doi.org/10.2147/jmdh.s510753

Jimenez, S., Berbegal-Mirabent, J., & De La Torre, R. (2024). How do university libraries contribute to the research process? *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2024.102930

Kalpokaite, N., & Radivojevic, I. (2018). Demystifying Qualitative Data Analysis for Novice Qualitative Researchers. *The Qualitative Report*. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2019.4120

Kalsum, U., Safitri, Y., Susanti, J., & Nugraha, A. (2023). Hubungan Pengetahuan terhadap Perilaku Pencegahan Diabetes Melitus Tipe 2 pada Masyarakat. *Jurnal Kesehatan*.

Pipatpiboon, N., Sripetchwandee, J., & Bressington, D. (2025). Factors Related to Dementia Preventive Self-Management Behaviors Among Community-Dwelling Older Adults With Type 2 Diabetes: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.70038

Pratt, M. (2025). On the Evolution of Qualitative Methods in Organizational Research. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-111722-032953

Ramadhani, A., & Khotami, R. (2023). Hubungan Tingkat Pendidikan, Pengetahuan, Usia dan Riwayat Keluarga DM dengan Perilaku Pencegahan Diabetes Mellitus Tipe 2 pada Usia Dewasa Muda. *SEHATMAS: Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan Masyarakat*. https://doi.org/10.55123/sehatmas.v2i1.1271

Rezekiyah, S., & Lestari, W. (2024). Penerapan Perilaku CERDIK dan Pemeriksaan Gula Darah dalam Pencegahan dan Pengendalian Penyakit Diabetes Mellitus (DM) pada Masyarakat. *Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat (ABDIKEMAS)*. https://doi.org/10.36086/j.abdikemas.v6i2.2558

Rohmah, S. (2019). Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Perilaku Pencegahan Luka Kaki Diabetik Pada Pasien Diabetes. *Journal of Midwifery and Public Health*. https://doi.org/10.25157/midjoger.v1i1.2001

Shirinzadeh, M., Afshin-Pour, B., Angeles, R., Gaber, J., & Agarwal, G. (2019). The effect of community-based programs on diabetes prevention in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Globalization and Health*, *15*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-019-0451-4

Shirvani, T., Javadivala, Z., Azimi, S., Shaghaghi, A., Fathifar, Z., Bhalla, H., Abdekhoda, M., & Nadrian, H. (2021). Community-based educational interventions for prevention of type II diabetes: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic Reviews*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01619-3

Spurr, S., Burles, M., Hyslop, S., Bally, J., Bullin, C., Chow, A., Tootoosis, H., & Oosman, S. (2024). Preventing type 2 diabetes among Indigenous youth: A systematic review of community-based interventions. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, *83*. https://doi.org/10.1080/22423982.2024.2320449

Teo, J., Jiang, Y., Seah, C., Yeo, T., Lim, S., & Wang, W. (2025). Perceptions and Intentions to Prevent Diabetes Among At-Risk Individuals: A Qualitative Study Using the Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *34*, 2918–2932. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.17764

Tini, T., Darmawansyah, D., Amiruddin, R., Masni, M., & Mallongi, A. (2023). Cadre Interventions on Health Outcomes of Diabetes Mellitus Patients: Systematic Review of Randomized Control Trial. *Pharmacognosy Journal*. https://doi.org/10.5530/pj.2023.15.139

Togia, A., & Malliari, A. (2017). *Research Methods in Library and Information Science*. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68749

Vila-Henninger, L., D., C., Van Ingelgom, V., Caprioli, M., Teuber, F., Pennetreau, D., Bussi, M., Gall, C. (2022). Abductive coding: Theory building and qualitative (re)analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research*, *53*(4), 968–1001.

White, L., Kirwan, M., Christie, V., Hurst, L., & Gwynne, K. (2024). The Effectiveness of Clinician-Led Community-Based Group Exercise Interventions on Health Outcomes in Adults with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *21*. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph21050601

Zhao, R., Zhao, N., Wang, S., Zhang, X., Ding, B., Li, Y., Miao, W., Pan, L., & Fan, H. (2023). Assessment of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients' behavioral characteristics associated with integrated treatment and prevention services in community health centers in China. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1084946